

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,152	10/24/2001	Jack A. Mandelman	FIS920010265US1(14912)	8522
32074	7590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			BLUM, DAVID S	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-4	482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52			2813	
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/004,152	MANDELMAN ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David S Blum	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the control	f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply o se later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	, ,	••				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:	, , , , , <u> </u>	ERIK J. KIELIN				
		Primary examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none. using Verret's mask layer #42, trenches are aligned with single mask..